ROS Scavenger, Ebselen, Has No Preventive Effect in New Hearing Loss Model Using a Cholesterol-Chelating Agent
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Background and Objectives: The antioxidant ebselen will be able to limit or prevent the ototoxicity arising from 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (HPβCD). Niemann-Pick Type C (NPC) disease is a disorder of lysosomal storage manifested in sphingolipidosis. Recently, it was noted that experimental use of HPβCD could partially resolve the symptoms in both animals and human patients. Despite its desirable effect, HPβCD can induce hearing loss, which is the only major side effect noted to date. Understanding of the pathophysiology of hearing impairment after administration of HPβCD and further development of preventive methods are essential to reduce the ototoxic side effect. The mechanisms of HPβCD-induced ototoxicity remain unknown, but the resulting pathology bears some resemblance to other ototoxic agents, which involves oxidative stress pathways. To indirectly determine the involvement of oxidative stress in HPβCD-induced ototoxicity, we tested the efficacy of an antioxidant reagent, ebselen, on the extent of inner ear side effects caused by HPβCD. Materials and Methods: Ebselen was applied prior to administration of HPβCD in mice. Auditory brainstem response thresholds and otopathology were assessed one week later. Bilateral effects of the drug treatments also were examined. Results: HPβCD-alone resulted in bilateral, severe, and selective loss of outer hair cells from base to apex with an abrupt transition between lesions and intact areas. Ebselen co-treatment did not ameliorate HPβCD-induced hearing loss or alter the resulting histopathology. Conclusions: The results indirectly suggest that cochlear damage by HPβCD is unrelated to reactive oxygen species formation. However, further research into the mechanism(s) of HPβCD otopathology is necessary.
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Introduction

Niemann-Pick Type C (NPC) disease is a lysosomal storage disorder associated with the accumulation of glycosphingolipids and cholesterol in lysosomes. This accumulation of fatty substance or lipid in the multiple organs of the body including liver, spleen, brain and bone, especially in brain, may cause various symptoms such as ataxia, dysarthria, dysphagia, abnormal posturing and in most cases, this leads to a fatal prognosis. Recently, the experimental administration of 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (HPβCD) was shown to be able to resolve some symptoms in both animal and human NPC [1,2]. HPβCD mobilizes cholesterol as well as phospholipids and proteins, which aids transport of accumulated cholesterol out of the lysosome. When HPβCD was used in high doses, it was reported to have ototoxic effects on cochlear outer hair cells (OHCs) in mice [3-6]. However, the biological pathway for this damage remains to be elucidated.

One possible explanation for the loss of OHCs and resultant hearing impairment made by HPβCD is catastrophic damage to the cell membrane by mobilizing or depleting membrane lipids [3]. However, an effect on ubiquitous lipid components...
can be explained by the specificity of injury to the OHCs. OHCs show heightened sensitivity to other ototoxic agents, such as cisplatin and aminoglycosides, compared to other cochlear cell types, possibly due to differences in uptake mechanisms [7] and mitochondrial metabolism [8]. Indeed, increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) production is a common cause in otopathology from several forms of acquired hearing loss [9]. Therefore, we sought to examine whether antioxidant therapy could limit or prevent HPβCD-ototoxicity.

Ebselen, an organoselenium compound, has antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects [10]. This drug has preventive effects on cisplatin ototoxicity in auditory cells, organotypic cultures, and mouse in vivo. Its effect is thought to be caused by suppressing the production of ROS and intracellular oxidative agents, and increasing the expression of antioxidant responsive element through the Nrf2 translocation to the nucleus [11]. The aim of this study was to investigate whether ebselen could ameliorate HPβCD-induced hearing loss in mice.

**Materials and Methods**

Animals and experimental procedures

Four- to six-week old FVB/NJ mice (20–24 g, n=14) were used in this study. These animals were divided into 3 groups, which are control (n=2), HPβCD alone (n=6), and ebselen plus HPβCD (n=6). Control animals were treated with normal saline (i.p., single). The ebselen dose was 16 mg/kg (i.p., single), and the HPβCD dose was 8,000 mg/kg (s.c., single) [3,11]. In ebselen and HPβCD groups, ebselen was administered 1 day before HPβCD treatment.

This study was approved (A3114-01) and performed according to the guidelines of the University of Michigan Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

ABRs recording

Auditory brainstem responses (ABRs) were recorded before and 1 week after IP injection of ebselen (12, 24 kHz). Animals were anesthetized intramuscularly with ketamine (58.8 mg/kg), xylazine (2.4 mg/kg), and acepromazine (1.2 mg/kg) and placed on a thermo-regulating heating pad to maintain body temperature. ABRs were recorded in an electrically and acoustically shielded chamber (Acoustic Systems, Austin, TX, USA). Tucker Davis Technologies (TDT) System III hardware and SigGen/BioSig software (TDT, Alachua, FL, USA) were used to present the stimulus and record responses. Neural activity in response to brief tone bursts was measured using needle electrodes inserted subcutaneously ventral to each pinna and at the vertex of the skull. The sound was delivered to an area just inside the tragus. Each tone burst was 15 ms in duration, with 1 ms rise/fall times, presented 10 bursts per second through an EC1 driver (TDT, aluminum enclosure made in-house). 1,024 responses were averaged for each stimulus level and each frequency. Responses were collected for stimulus levels in 10 dB steps at higher stimulus levels, and at 5 dB steps near threshold. Thresholds were interpolated between the lowest stimulus level where a response was observed, and 5 dB lower, where no response was observed.

Tissue preparation and immunocytochemistry

After the ABR recordings, epi-fluorescence analysis using primary antibody to prestin (right ear) and ZO-1 (left ear), and Phalloidin was performed. Animals were sacrificed after ABR test and their cochleae were harvested. Samples were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for at least 1 hour, rinsed with PBS, and the area of the auditory epithelium and spiral limbus were dissected for whole-mount preparations. Staining for prestin (right ear) and ZO-1 (left ear) was used to evaluate the integrity of OHC membranes and tight junctional complexes, respectively. The dissected tissues were permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, then incubated with blocking buffer (5% normal goat serum in PBS) for at least 1 hour, rinsed with PBS, and the area of the auditory epithelium and spiral limbus were dissected for whole-mount preparations. Staining for prestin (right ear) and ZO-1 (left ear) was used to evaluate the integrity of OHC membranes and tight junctional complexes, respectively. The dissected tissues were permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, then incubated with blocking buffer (5% normal goat serum in PBS) for 30 min to block non-specific binding of secondary antibodies. After that, samples were incubated with primary antibodies. The following primary antibodies, dilutions and incubation conditions were used; anti-prestin antibody [sc-22692 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), 1:100 dilution in blocking buffer, 1 h, room conditions]; monoclonal anti-ZO-1 antibody (Zymed Laboratories, Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 1:100 dilution in blocking buffer, overnight, at 4°C). After rinsing the primary antibody with PBS, tissues were incubated with a fluorescence-labeled secondary antibody for 30 min and rinsed with PBS before mounting on microscope slides. To label F-actin, permeabilized dissected tissues were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated Phalloidin (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1:400 dilution in PBS, 30 min, room temperature). After rinsing with PBS, stained tissues were mounted on glass slides with Fluoro-Gel mounting media (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA). For epi-fluorescence analysis, we used a Leica DMRF epi-fluorescence microscope (Leica, Eatontown, NJ, USA) equipped with a SPOT-RT digital camera (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI, USA) and SPOT-RT software Ver.5.0. For confocal microscopy analysis, we used Olympus FV 500 Confocal microscope (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA). Photographs were cropped and labeled with Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator software (Adobe System, San Jose, CA, USA).
Statistical analysis
All data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA) software.

Hearing thresholds were compared between groups (with and without ebselen treatment) and time points (before and after systemic administration of pharmacologic agents) using unpaired Student’s T-test. *p*-value ≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

ABRs results
To evaluate the hearing outcome after injection of HPβCD or ebselen or both, ABR was performed before and 1 week after administration. Baseline ABR thresholds before the injection of drugs did not show significant differences between groups, hearing thresholds are shown in Table 1. ABR thresholds after drug injection were compared to the ABR thresholds of control animals treated with normal saline. The ebselen treatment group had ABR thresholds similar to controls, suggesting that ebselen itself was not ototoxic. Injection of HPβCD resulted in significant hearing loss showing mean thresholds higher than 60 dB SPL at both 12 kHz and 24 kHz, which revealed statistically significant differences compared to thresholds of the control group (*p*=0.005 and 0.02 at 12 and 24 kHz, respectively) (Fig. 1). ABR threshold shifts were about 40 dB in most HPβCD-injected mice, however, threshold shift was not found in all animals, as reported previously. Combined administration of ebselen and HPβCD also resulted in significantly elevated thresholds compared to the control group at both frequencies (*p*=0.03 and 0.02 at 12 and 24 kHz, respectively) (Fig. 1). There was no difference of threshold between HPβCD only and the combination of ebselen/HPβCD at both frequencies (Fig. 1), suggesting ebselen exerted no protective effect against cytotoxicity of HPβCD.

Prestin expression in OHCs after HPβCD and ebselen
Prestin was previously found to be a direct or indirect target in OHCs exposed to HPβCD, based on drug-induced mis-localization of prestin and the resistance of OHCs to HPβCD in prestin-null mice [3,4]. We therefore evaluated whether a change in prestin expression was seen after HPβCD-only and HPβCD/ebselen treatments in OHCs that survive the insult. Indeed, using prestin-specific immunocytochemistry, we observed differences in the distribution and intensity of prestin staining between control and HPβCD only treated groups (Fig. 2). Control organ of Corti showed well organized rings of prestin at the perimeter of OHCs whereas cyclodextrin-treated groups showed disrupted prestin organization. Still, the ebselen+HPβCD group appeared to have less disruption of prestin compared to HPβCD only treated groups (Fig. 2). The damage was restricted to the OHCs; inner hair cells remained intact.

Table 1. Baseline hearing thresholds of four different groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12 kHz (dB SPL±SD)</th>
<th>24 kHz (dB SPL±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>17.50 ± 3.54</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPβCD</td>
<td>15.00 ± 1.79</td>
<td>14.17 ± 3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebselen+HPβCD</td>
<td>16.14 ± 2.97</td>
<td>15.14 ± 4.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation, HPβCD: hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin
Histological findings on cochlear epithelial surface

To evaluate the integrity of tight junctional complexes within the cochlear sensory epithelium one-week after HPβCD-only or ebselen/HPβCD administration, ZO1 staining was used. In the control group without damage by HPβCD, intact tight junctions on the epithelial cells were found. In the cochleae of HPβCD only and ebselen/HPβCD groups, no disruption of the tight junctional complexes was observed (Fig. 3). These results suggest that HPβCD does not cause a persistent disruption the tight junctional complexes.

Bilateral symmetry of HPβCD otopathology

HPβCD-only or the combination of ebselen and HPβCD induced OHC damage. In these two groups, complete loss of OHCs was restricted to the hook and basal turn of the mouse cochlea. In the lower frequency regions (apical cochlea) OHC survival was nearly complete. The transition zone between the region of severe lesion (base) and full survival of OHC was very narrow, showing an abrupt transition from almost complete survival to nearly complete loss of OHCs (Fig. 2). This finding is consistent with prior observations [3,5]. The symmetry of the transition zone from death to survival was examined for right and left ears and compared between HPβCD-only and ebselen/HPβCD treatment groups. HPβCD-only treated group showed symmetry (all <45°). However, in one out of 5 animals (20%) in the combination group, the asymmetry was more than a 45° difference between right and left cochleae (Fig. 4).

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated the pattern of OHC
loss after systemic administration of HPβCD in mice, and showed that the addition of ebselen to HPβCD treatment did not provide any functional and morphological rescue. Ebselen is one of many well-known anti-oxidants that show protective effects against various inducers of oxidative stress. Ebselen reduces ROS after ototoxic insults caused by cisplatin [12] and after acoustic overexposure [10]. It is not clear why ebselen did not ameliorate the cytotoxic effect of HPβCD in this study. The observations add to previous data suggesting that the pathophysiologic mechanism of HPβCD is not related to the formation of ROS [5] and suggest that additional work is needed to assess changes to mitochondrial bioenergetics [13] and other aspects of stress pathway effects that may yet be related to HPβCD. Still, according to the data of current study and former, measurement of ROS products using proper analytic tools such as DCF-DA or characterizing the downstream molecular product of ROS has not been performed. Therefore we highly recommend further analysis using such methods and using the other powerful ROS scavengers.

Another possible mechanism was the deterioration of tight junctions in the sensory epithelium. The loss of junctional integrity across the sensory epithelium can cause hair cell damage. Results from the present study revealed that there was no persistent loosening or disruption of tight junction complexes in the damaged auditory epithelium. The dramatic effects of methyl-β-cyclodextrin on tight junction integrity in model
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systems recover rapidly after removal of the drug, with nearly full recovery in 24 hours [14]. However, we cannot rule out transient changes in junctions that occurred at earlier stages but recovered by the time of the analysis. Although cochlear damage induced by HPβCD seems not to be related to chronic disorganization of tight junctional complexes as a main damage mechanism, but we cannot rule out acute damaging effects immediately after drug injection. This limitation could be recovered by adopting the functional permeability test such as Evans blue tracer assay [15,16] which is not affected by timeline of experiments. As such, more work is needed to fully understand the pharmacokinetics of HPβCD in the cochlear duct and the resulting molecular events that ultimately lead to OHC death.

Similar to cochlear pathology induced by other ototoxic drugs and presbycusis, HPβCD showed a base to apex gradient for drug susceptibility. This gradient may reflect pharmacokinetics and cochlear uptake mechanisms [17]. However, a recent study using direct drug delivery in the guinea pig also showed the basal susceptibility and suggested that the apex may be somewhat resistant [18].

The pattern of hair cell loss after systemic administration of HPβCD was quite different from characteristics of cellular damage induced by other ototoxic agents such as cisplatin or gentamicin. Systemic administration of most ototoxic drugs results in the change of hearing function at multiple frequencies, which corresponds well with the pathology of hair cell loss throughout the cochlea, even when the base is more sensitive [19]. It is unclear why cochlear damage by HPβCD presents with such an abrupt cut-off point transitioning between damaged and undamaged hair cells. Several cochlear components show a gradient in expression or organization from base to apex, but none presents with such an abrupt transition. An abrupt transition between areas of hair cell presence versus compete loss has been shown in a mutant mouse [20] but the point of transition kept moving apically with time, and the mechanism is not easily correlated with the ototoxicity reported here.

This study has limitations as follows. First, administration protocol of HPβCD based on experimental methods of previous studies [3,5] was applied. With the different concentration and different delivery route result might be different and further studies using smaller or larger concentration or using different route of delivery should be conducted. Second, there were animals which were not affected by HPβCD. We believe that it may be due to slight variations in delivery method, not animal sensitivity. Additional study to demonstrate the characteristics of these unaffected animals may be required.

In conclusion, HPβCD-induced hearing loss show a histologic pattern of hair cell loss with abrupt transition from normal to traumatized region, outer hair cell-restricted loss, and disorganization of prestin in remaining cells. The lesion was not minimized histologically or functionally by ebselen co-treatment. Tight junctional complexes were not affected by HPβCD treatment. Further studies regarding pathophysiologic mechanism of HPβCD may provide important clues for preventing ototoxicity in NPC patients treated with HPβCD.
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